

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/542,743	04/04/2000	Allan Havemose	AMI 99 0004	.5093	
32718	7590 03/07/2007	EXAMINER			
GATEWAY, INC. ATTN: SCOTT CHARLES RICHARDSON			TRUONG, LECHI		
610 GATEWA N. SIOUX CIT		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
1 2.20 0.12 0.12	,		2194		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/07/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
09/542,743	HAVEMOSE, ALLAN		
Examiner	Art Unit		
LeChi Truong	2194		

• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	- LAGIIIII O	7.00 011110					
	LeChi Truong	2194					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 06 February 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.					
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: 	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
time periods: a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin	a date of the final rejection						
b) The period for reply expiresnontrib item that in the mailing by the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A		in the final rejection, wh	ichever is later. In				
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.				
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	, ,	36(a) and the appropria	to extension fee				
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Netice of Appeal was filed an	pliance with 27 CEP 41 27 must be	filed within two month	as of the date of				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th					
AMENDMENTS							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause				
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for							
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mnliant Amondment	(DTOL_324)				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		impliant Amendment	(I TOL-324).				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the				
non-allowable claim(s).		II ha amtawad and an	number of				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) abjected to page		ii be entered and an (explanation of .				
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-24,40 and 41</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 25-39.							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10. \square The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attac	hed.				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		PC 6 11.					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.		n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	(P10/56/08) Paper NO(S)						
	WEI ZHEN SUPERVISORY PATENT EX	AMINER					
	ANM.	n/					

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

1. Applicant amendment filed on 02/06/2007 has been considered but they are not persuasive:

Applicant argued in substance that :

- (1) " Dreyfus is not a direct, object-to-object communications".
- 2. Examiner respectfully disagreed with Applicant's remarks:

As to the point(1), Cockrill teaches the transactional model discussed above, in which customers [object] make purchases directly from merchants[object] using credit card or check card transactons(col 2, In 30-33/ In 45-50).